



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,142	11/09/2001	Charles A. Eldering	T711-14	8140
27832 7590 TECHNOLOGY P	02/09/2007 ATENTS AND LICE	NSING INC /PRIME	EXAM	IINER
2003 SOUTH EAS		101110, 111031 KIND	TRAN, HAI V  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 208 DOYLESTOWN, P	PA 18901			
20122310 W., 1			2623	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	RIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTH		02/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/031,142	ELDERING, CHARLES A.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hai Tran	2623			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the policy of the second section in the second	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply built apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS accuse the application to become ABAND	ION. e timely filed  rom the mailing date of this communication  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 O</u>	ctober 2006.				
· _	•	action is non-final.				
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-199 is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-116 is/are withdraw		·			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 117-199 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b)  objected to by the	ne Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
•	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applic	ation No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been rece	eived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	ived.			
		·				
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform				
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/13/2006</u> .	6) Other:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 117-199 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 117-119, 122-124, 127-138, 143-144, 146-151, 153-156, 159-165, 167-171 and 192 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryant (US 5652615) in view of Hjelsvold et al. (US 6546555).

Claim 117, Brian discloses a method for presenting targeted advertisements in a telecom system, the method comprising:

Forming group for reception of signals for the telecom system (see Fig. 1 with various groups and subgroups is formed and Fig.8, Col. 6, lines 65-Col. 7, lines 17)

Forming a plurality of subgroups for the group (see Fig. 1 with various groups and subgroups is formed and Fig.8, Col. 6, lines 65-Col. 7, lines 17);

Art Unit: 2623

Assigning a subgroup address to each subgroup (see Fig.1 for street box as subgroup address);

Receiving a program stream (met by the headend 140 that receives a program stream from satellite 120);

Selecting one or more targeted advertisements for a 1<sup>st</sup> subgroup (Fig. 3; Col.4, lines 37-56; Col. 5, lines 23-25);

Assigning an advertisement identifier to each of the targeted advertisements (see Fig. 3);

Creating a relationship between the subgroup address and the one or more advertising identifiers (see fig. 5, Col. 6, lines 65-Col. 7, lines 17); and

Transmitting the program stream and the targeted advertisements selected for the 1<sup>st</sup> subgroup to the 1<sup>st</sup> subgroup (see Fig. 1, el. 170),

Bryant does not clearly discloses "wherein the 1st subgroup does not receive targeted advertisements corresponding to any other subgroup"

Hjelsvold discloses groups/subgroups do not receive the same additional video data from same program stream (see Fig. 15, Col. 9, lines 50-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bryant with the teaching of Hjelsvold to have two different versions using the same video content stream that carry different promotional sequence, as taught by Hjelsvold, so to provide a filtering that is based on the user choice/preference/capability (Col. 3, lines 2-5). Moreover, provide a tool to merchant to prepare the content for filtering by categorizing and defining the amount of

Art Unit: 2623

promotional information to insert and the rules used to control insertion of promotional information to a particular user (Col. 3, lines 23-32).

Claim 118, "selecting one or more targeted advertisements for a second subgroup and transmitting the program stream and the advertisements selected for the second subgroup to the 2<sup>nd</sup> subgroup, wherein the 2<sup>nd</sup> subgroup does not received targeted advertisement corresponding to any other subgroup" is further met by the above analysis of claim 117.

Claim 119, "wherein the transmitting to the 1<sup>st</sup> subgroup and the transmitting to the 2<sup>nd</sup> subgroup are performed simultaneously" is further met by Bryan (see Fig. 1, el. 170) in view of Hjelsvold.

Claim 122, Bryan further discloses wherein the subgroups are based on cable nodes (see Fig. 3, Col. 5, lines 23-39).

Claim 123, Bryan further discloses wherein the subgroups are formed by transmitting an MPEG signal over a cable TV network (Col. 5, lines 48-65 and Col. 6, lines 46-51).

Claim 124, Bryant further discloses wherein the subgroups are based on demographic attributes (Fig. 2 and 8; Col. 4, lines 15-32; Col. 8, lines 49-52);

Claim 127, "wherein the transmitting includes multiplexing the program stream and the selected targeted advertisements at a centralized point to create a presentation stream" is further met by Bryant (Fig. 4-6, Col. 5, lines 48-65 and Col 6, lines 46-51) in view of Hjelsvold.

Art Unit: 2623

Claim 128, "wherein the multiplexing is performed in real-time" is further met by Bryant (Fig. 2; Col. 4, lines 10-20) in view of Hjelsvold.

Claim 129, "wherein the selected targeted advertisements are stored temporarily in a storage for insertion at a later time" is further met by Bryant (Col. 3, lines 25-53) in view of Hjelsvold.

Claim 130, "wherein the program stream comprises one or more empty segments and during multiplexing the selected targeted advertisements are inserted in the empty segments" is further met by Bryant ("fill" segment in which ads is inserted; Col. 5, lines 23-37) in view of Hjelsvold.

Claim 131, "wherein the program stream comprises one or more default advertisements and during multiplexing the default advertisements are substituted with the selected targeted advertisements" is met by Bryant (Figure 8, where segment b is a default advertisement provided by BC 810) in view of Hjelsvold.

Claim 132, "inserting the selected targeted advertisements in the program stream at a client side" is met by Bryant (Figure 3; See Col 5, Lines 23-39) in view of Hjelsvold.

Claim 133, "wherein the client side is provided with the insertion time and the identification of the selected target advertisements" is met by Bryant (Figure 2; See Col 4, Lines 25-28) in view of Hjelsvold.

Claim 134, "wherein the program stream comprises one or more empty segments and during multiplexing the selected targeted advertisements and the identification of these empty segments is transmitted to the client side " is further met

Art Unit: 2623

by Bryant ("fill" segment in which ads is inserted; Col. 5, lines 23-39) in view of Hjelsvold.

Claim 135 is analyzed with respect to claim 131.

Claim 136, "wherein n program streams are combined with m advertisement streams resulting in p presentation streams, wherein p is greater than n" is further met by Bryant (Col. 6, lines 55-Col 7, lines 16 and Fig. 8) in view of Hjelsvold.

Claim 137, "wherein the program stream is transmitted as a 1<sup>st</sup> digital signal and the targeted advertisements are transmitted as a 2<sup>nd</sup> digital signal" is further met by Bryant (Col. 4, lines 20-25 and Fig. 2) in view of Hjelsvold.

Claim 138, "wherein the 1<sup>st</sup> digital signal is transmitted to the whole group and the 2<sup>nd</sup> digital signal is transmitted only to a subgroup" is further met by Bryant (Col. 6, lines 55-Col 7, lines 16 and Fig. 8) in view of Hjelsvold (see Fig. 15, Col. 9, lines 50-61).

Claim 143, "wherein the signals are cable based video signal" is further met by Bryant, as discussed in claim 117.

Claim 144, "wherein the signals are broadcast based video signal" is further met by Bryant, as discussed in claim 117.

Claims 146, "wherein the targeted advertisements are inserted into the program stream based on the advertisement identifiers" is further met by Bryant (Col. 6, lines 55-Col 7, lines 16 and Fig. 8) in view of Hjelsvold.

Art Unit: 2623

Claim 147, "wherein the insertion occurs at the centralized point" is further met by Bryant (see Fig. 1) in view of Hjelsvold.

Claim 148, "wherein the insertion occurs at a local end" is further met by Bryant (see Fig. 1 with STB 200) in view of Hjelsvold.

Claims 149-150 and 153 are analyzed with respect to claim 117 and 127.

Claim 151 is analyzed with respect to claim 119.

Claim 154, "wherein the subgroups are based on cable nodes" is analyzed with respect to claim 122.

Claim 155 is analyzed with respect to claim 123.

Claim 156 is analyzed with respect to claim 124.

Claim 159 is analyzed with respect to claim 128.

Claim 160 is analyzed with respect to claim 129.

Claim 161 is analyzed with respect to claim 130.

Claim 162 is analyzed with respect to claim 131.

Claim 163 is analyzed with respect to claim 136.

Claim 164 is analyzed with respect to claim 117.

Claim 165 is analyzed with respect to claim 117.

Claim 167 is analyzed with respect to claim 117.

Claim 168, "wherein the presentation of the targeted advertisements occurs before the program, at the beginning of the program, after the program, at the end of

Art Unit: 2623

the program, or during the program" is further met by Bryant (see Fig. 3) in view of Hjelsvold.

Claim 169, "wherein the target advertisement presented to the 1<sup>st</sup> subgroup and the targeted advertisement presented to the 2<sup>nd</sup> subgroup are presented to the client members of respective subgroups at or about the same time within the program sequence" is further met by the by Bryant in view of Hjelsvold as discussed in claim 117.

Claim 170, "wherein each subgroup represents a target market" is further met by Bryant (Fig. 8; Col. 6, lines 65-Col. 7, lines 17) in view of Hjelsvold.

Claim 171, "wherein the subgroup are formed an at least one attribute from a set of attributes consisting of geographic, demographic, psychographic, and preference attributes." is further met by Bryant (Fig. 2 and 8; col. 4, lines 15-32 and Col. 8, lines 49-52) in view of Hjelsvold.

Claim 192 is analyzed with respect to claim 117

2. Claims 120, 121, 152, 166, 175-176, and 197 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryant et al. (US 5652615) in view of Hjelsvold et al. (US 6546555), and further in view of Lakey et al. (US 6,078,954).

Claim 120, Bryant discloses subsets/subgroups of cable nodes are formed by profile of customer demographics based on age, income...

Bryant in view of Hjelsvold does not clearly disclose wherein the subgroups are formed by using multicast addresses.

Art Unit: 2623

Lakey discloses that the destination/address includes multicast group/subgroup (groups/subgroups and multicast address; Fig. 3; Col. 3, lines 45-65+; Col. 4, lines 50-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bryant in view of Hjelsvold to form Category/SubGroup Definition; i.e. age, income using multicast address/multicast group, as taught by Lakey, so the Headend/server could control over which clients/viewers are to be placed within which multicast group (Col. 1, lines 49-50) and also to take the advantage of the multicasting protocol of providing continuous multicast of data wherein the data can include configure, control and maintenance packets as well as informational data such as statistical data (Col. 3, lines 8-11).

Claim 121 is analyzed with respect to claim 117.

Bryant in view of Hjelsvold does not clearly disclose wherein the subgroups are formed by using multicast addresses.

Lakey discloses that the destination/address includes multicast group/subgroup (groups/subgroups and multicast address; Fig. 3; Col. 3, lines 45-65+; Col. 4, lines 50-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bryant in view of Hjelsvold to form Category/SubGroup Definition; i.e. age, income using multicast address/multicast group, as taught by Lakey, so the Headend/server could control over which clients/viewers are to be placed within which multicast group (Col. 1,

Art Unit: 2623

lines 49-50) and also to take the advantage of the multicasting protocol of providing continuous multicast of data wherein the data can include configure, control and maintenance packets as well as informational data such as statistical data (Col. 3, lines 8-11).

Claim 152, "wherein the subgroups are formed by using multicast addresses" is analyzed with respect 120.

Claim 166 is analyzed with respect to claim 117 in which Bryant in view of Hjelsvold does not clearly disclose wherein the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> subgroups are formed by using multicast addresses.

Lakey discloses that the destination/address includes multicast group/subgroup (groups/subgroups and multicast address; Fig. 3; Col. 3, lines 45-65+; Col. 4, lines 50-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bryant in view of Hjelsvold to form Category/SubGroup Definition; i.e. age, income using multicast address/multicast group, as taught by Lakey, so the Headend/server could control over which clients/viewers are to be placed within which multicast group (Col. 1, lines 49-50) and also to take the advantage of the multicasting protocol of providing continuous multicast of data wherein the data can include configure, control and maintenance packets as well as informational

Claims 175-176, and 197 are analyzed with respect to claim 121.

 Claims 125-126, and 157-158 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryant et al. (US 5652615) in view of Hjelsvold et al. (US 6546555), and further in view of Wilkins (US 5446919).

Claims 125 and 157, Bryant in view of Hjelsvold does not clearly disclose wherein the subgroups are based on psychographic attributes.

Wilkins discloses wherein the forming includes forming the subgroups based on at least some subset of demographic attributes, psychographic attributes (Col. 4, lines 10-41 and Col. 8, lines 24-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bryant in view of Hjelsvold to group different subgroups based on psychographic attributes, as taught by Wilkins, so to give to advertisers to shape the content of the advertisement so as to appeal to the tastes/moods of the targeted audience (Col. 1, lines 28-40).

Claims 126 and 158, Wilkins further discloses wherein the subgroups are based on product and brand usage attributes advertisement (Col. 4, lines 60-Col. 5, lines 25).

 Claims 120-121, 139-142, 145, 152-153, 166, 172-191, and 193-199 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryant et al. (US 5652615) in view of Hjelsvold et al. (US 6546555), and further in view of Monteiro et al. (US 5983005) Claim 120, Bryant discloses subsets/subgroups of cable nodes are formed by profile of customer demographics based on age, income...

Bryant in view of Hjelsvold does not clearly disclose wherein the subgroups are formed by using multicast addresses.

Monteiro discloses the wherein the subgroups are formed by using multicast addresses (see Fig. 5; Col. 5, lines 60-Col. 6, lines 67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bryant in view of Hjelsvold with the teaching of Monteiro so to allow targeted commercial delivery based on the individual user. Thus, a substantial number of targeted groups could be accommodated without n impractical increase in network load (Col. 8, lines 25-18).

Claim 121 is analyzed with respect to claim 117.

Bryant in view of Hjelsvold does not clearly disclose wherein the subgroups are formed by using multicast addresses.

Monteiro discloses the wherein the subgroups are formed by using multicast addresses (see Fig. 5; Col. 5, lines 60-Col. 6, lines 67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bryant in view of Hjelsvold with the teaching of Monteiro so to allow targeted commercial delivery based on the individual user. Thus, a substantial number of

----

Art Unit: 2623

targeted groups could be accommodated without n impractical increase in network load (Col. 8, lines 25-18).

Claim 139, Bryant in view of Hjelsvold does not clearly disclose wherein the 1<sup>st</sup> digital signal is transmitted via a digital transport network over a 1<sup>st</sup> channel and the 2<sup>nd</sup> digital signal is transmitted over a 2<sup>nd</sup> channel.

Monteiro discloses the 1<sup>st</sup> digital signal is transmitted via a digital transport network over a 1<sup>st</sup> channel and the 2<sup>nd</sup> digital signal is transmitted over a 2<sup>nd</sup> channel (see Fig. 5; Col. 7, lines 64-Col. 8, lines 12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bryant in view of Hjelsvold with the teaching of Monteiro so to allow targeted commercial delivery based on the individual user. Thus, a substantial number of targeted groups could be accommodated without n impractical increase in network load (Col. 8, lines 25-18).

Claim 140, "wherein the 1<sup>st</sup> channel is a digital CATV channel and the 2<sup>nd</sup> channel is a digital channel is a CATV system" is further met by Monteiro (see Fig. 5; Col. 7, lines 64-Col. 8, lines 12).

Claim 141, is analyzed with respect to claims 117, 137 and 139 in which Monteiro further discloses the targeted advertisement are transmitted as an audio channel over the Internet (see Fig. 5; Col. 7, lines 64-Col. 8, lines 12).

Claim 142 is analyzed with respect to claim 141.

Art Unit: 2623

Claim 145 is analyzed with respect to claim 117 in which Bryant in view of Hjelsvold does not clearly disclose wherein the signals are Internet based streaming video signals.

Monteiro discloses wherein the signals are Internet based streaming video signals (see Fig. 5; Col. 7, lines 64-Col. 8, lines 12). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bryant in view of Hjelsvold with the teaching of Monteiro so to allow targeted commercial delivery based on the individual user. Thus, a substantial number of targeted groups could be accommodated without n impractical increase in network load )Col. 8, lines 25-18).

Claim 152 is analyzed with respect 120

Claim 153 is analyzed with respect to 145.

Claim 166 is analyzed with respect to claim 117 in which Bryant in view of Hjelsvold does not clearly disclose wherein the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> subgroups are formed by using multicast addresses.

Monteiro discloses the wherein the subgroups are formed by using multicast addresses (see Fig. 5; Col. 5, lines 60-Col. 6, lines 67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bryant in view of Hjelsvold with the teaching of Monteiro so to allow targeted

Art Unit: 2623

commercial delivery based on the individual user. Thus, a substantial number of targeted groups could be accommodated without n impractical increase in network load (Col. 8, lines 25-18).

Claim 172 is analyzed with respect to claims 121, 145 and 166.

Claim 173, Bryant in view of Hjelsvold does not clearly disclose wherein the plurality-targeted advertisements are delivered from a plurality of advertisement servers.

Monteiro discloses the plurality-targeted advertisements are delivered from a plurality of advertisement servers (see Fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bryant in view of Hjelsvold with the teaching of Monteiro so to allow plurality of targeted commercials could be delivered to users through plurality of advertisers.

Claims 174, 175 and 176 are analyzed with respect to claims 121, 145 and 166.

Claim 177, Monteiro discloses the plurality-targeted advertisements are delivered from a plurality of advertisement servers (see Fig. 1).

Claim 178 is further analyzed with respect to claims 121, 145 and 166.

Bryant in view of Hjelsvold and Monteiro fails to disclose the use of a DOCSIS channel for delivery of the multimedia stream.

Art Unit: 2623

Official Notice is taken that the use of a DOCSIS channel for delivery of multimedia stream in the CATV system is notoriously well known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bryant in view of Hjelsvold and Monteiro to use DOCSIS channel for delivery of multimedia stream so to take the advantage of DOCSIS standard so as to allow interoperability.

Claims 179 and 180, "inserting the targeted advertisements in the program stream at the client side" and "wherein the targeted advertisements are inserted into the program before the program is decoded" is further met by the disclosed of Bryant STB.

Claim 181, "wherein the client side is provided with the insertion time and the identification of the targeted advertisements" is further met by Bryant fig.2, Col. 4, lines 20-28.

Claims 182-184 are analyzed with respect to claims 121, 145 and 166.

Claim 185 is analyzed with respect to claim 119.

Claim 186 is analyzed with respect to claim 147.

Claim 187 is analyzed with respect to claim 148.

Claim 188 is analyzed with respect to claim 168

Claim 189 is analyzed with respect to claim 147.

Claim 190 is analyzed with respect to claim 170.

Claim 191 is analyzed with respect to claim 171.

Art Unit: 2623

Claim 193, "wherein the content material comprises one or more default advertisements and during the combining the default advertisements are substitute with the targeted advertisements" is met by Bryant Fig. 8, Col. 6, lines 65-Col. 7, lines 17.

Claim 194 is analyzed with respect to claim 177.

Claim 195, "wherein the subgroups are disjoint and contain no members in common" is further met by Bryant Fig. 8, Col. 6, lines 65-Col. 7, lines 17.

Claims 196 and 197 are analyzed with respect to claims 121, 145 and 166.

Claim 198 is analyzed with respect to claim 177.

Claim 199, "wherein the subgroups comprise one ore more media players (reads on Bryant's STB or Monteiro 's user 40 receivers Col. 17, lines 33-40).

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/031,142 Page 18

Art Unit: 2623

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7305. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher S. Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HT:ht 02/02/2007